

2024 ACCP Foundation Futures Grants: Mentored Developmental Research Awards

Review of junior investigator applications will be based upon criteria as follows:

- **OVERALL IMPACT.** Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to make a difference in the applicant's pursuit of a research career in clinical pharmacy. The following five core review criteria will form the overall impact score.
- **CORE REVIEW CRITERIA.** Reviewers will consider each of the five review criteria below in the determination of scientific and technical merit. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major impact. For example, a project that by its nature is not innovative may be essential to advance a field.
- **SIGNIFICANCE.** Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?
- **INVESTIGATOR(S).** Are the investigators, collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? Do they have appropriate experience and training? Does the mentor have an ongoing record of accomplishments that have advanced his or her field(s)?
- **INNOVATION.** Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense? Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?
- **APPROACH.** Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? If appropriate, are the potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented?
- **ENVIRONMENT.** Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Is the institutional support available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed?